NYC DOE and UFT Standards on Paperwork Reduction

As per the 2014 Memorandum of Agreement agreed upon by the UFT and the DOE, as a system we seek to reduce and eliminate unnecessary paperwork with which teachers and other school professionals are tasked. This document was drafted to provide initial standards to school educators and related service providers. It was drafted collaboratively between the UFT and the DOE and responds to concerns raised by schools and teachers.

The standards listed below were created by the central committee. This document establishes initial system-wide standards regarding the reduction of paperwork while the central committee continues to work to review, revise and develop additional standards to meet the needs of schools. These standards will be created and reviewed by the committee ninety (90) days from the date of this communication. It is the expectation that based on these standards these matters can be resolved at the school level.

This document is to be distributed to all schools and key stakeholders including SLT Chairpersons, PA/PTA Presidents, UFT Chapter Leaders, UFT District Representatives, District Superintendents, school leaders and CSA Representatives.

Employees (including those in functional chapters) who believe that they have been assigned unnecessary paperwork should first seek to resolve their concerns at the school level. If issues are not resolved at the school level, employees may request that their Chapter Leaders raise school-specific paperwork issues (whether paper or electronic) before their respective District Committees.

Paperwork Reduction Standards

I. The Quality Review:

Schools are to present only existing curricular and existing school-level documents to contextualize the assessment of all Quality Indicators, especially 1.1, rather than create documents for the sole purpose of the Quality Review. Additionally, evidence can be verbal or observable in the classroom/school environment within existing school processes and will include a review of only those documents used in the normal course of teaching and learning. Reviewers and evaluators will consider the time of the year that the visit takes place and the work underway in each school when they review curricular and other school-level documents.
II. Special Education/D75

1. The DOE has discontinued the use of Interactive Voice Response (IVR) and CAP to record the provision of related services to K-12 students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), and will use SESIS encounter attendance as the primary system of record for related service provision, and BESIS for ESL provision.

2. The DOE will continue to engage UFT to prioritize how to streamline and enhance SESIS functionality to increase usability. The system enhancements will commence on a rolling basis as identified.

3. Each school or program shall continue to engage with all users of SESIS to provide adequate time and computer access to complete SESIS-related tasks.

III. Data Systems and Attendance

1. Schools may adopt only one school-based system for tracking student attendance (not including SESIS) in addition to the DOE source attendance system, except when expressly required by law or expressly required to receive federal, state or private grant funds schools may have additional attendance systems as required.

2. The DOE will explore and pursue options to integrate attendance-taking systems with as many other tasks as possible as part of our commitment to the UFT to reduce teacher paperwork. In July 2015, the DOE will provide an update to the central paperwork committee.

3. Educators and related service providers are not required to print collections or binders of documents that are available in electronic databases. Teachers are responsible for complying with reasonable requests for printing any documents for the purposes of parent interactions/communications and professional conversations with supervisors. Further standards are forthcoming.

4. School staff will continue to be responsible, based on student need, for providing reports related to student achievement, report cards, a student’s IEP, student behavior, and the social/emotional development of individual students. Such requests will not be routinized, school-wide or solely for the purpose of creating a binder for the storage of information.
FAQs

Who sits on the District Paperwork Committee? What is its role?

These District Committees will be made up of an equal number of representatives from the UFT and the DOE. The committee will include the District/High School Superintendent or his/her designee, and it will be primarily responsible for ensuring that the standards are met.

What happens when the school-level consultation does not bring a paperwork issue to resolution?

Paperwork concerns will be addressed at the school level first. If the school staff, principal, and superintendent cannot come to agreement, the issue will be raised at the district-level committee. Should the District Committee be unable to resolve the issue, as per the system-wide paperwork reduction standards, the central committee will hear the issue. The decision of the central committee will be enforced by the superintendent.

What are examples of tasks that may be performed during the Monday PD time and/or Tuesday parent engagement time?

As per the Memorandum of Agreement, when Parent Engagement and/or Professional Development activities are not taking place, teachers may participate in other professional activities: collaborative planning, Lesson Study, Inquiry and review of student work, work related to Measures of Student Learning (“MOSL”), IEP-related work, work related to computer systems/data entry; preparing and grading student assessments; mentoring; as well as responsibilities related to teacher leader duties for all individuals in Teacher Leadership Positions.
What is a unit plan?

The UFT and the DOE have agreed upon this format as the acceptable format for the unit plan.

What about the paperwork associated with Teacher Evaluation and Measures of Student Learning (MOSL)?

These tasks are required. There will be one or two periods of time during the school year, based upon a school’s MOSL selections, which will be designated as “MOSL windows” for the entire school district by the DOE. During these MOSL windows, teachers shall be permitted to devote as much time as necessary during the entire Parent Engagement periods of time to perform MOSL-related work. Should teachers not have a need to do MOSL-related work during the MOSL window, they shall engage in either Parent Engagement or Other Professional work.